

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
29 November 2001 (29.11.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/89566 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A61K 39/395**,
31/335, G01N 33/574, A61P 35/00 // (A61K 39/395,
31:335)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/16193

(22) International Filing Date: 18 May 2001 (18.05.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/205,754 19 May 2000 (19.05.2000) US

(71) Applicant: GENENTECH, INC. [US/US]; 1 DNA Way,
South San Francisco, CA 94080-4990 (US).

(72) Inventor: MASS, Robert, D.; 106 Elinor Avenue, Mill
Valley, CA 94941 (US).

(74) Agents: FEHLNER, Paul, F. et al.; Darby & Darby P.C.,
805 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10022-7513 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ,
BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK,
DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP,
KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD,
MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU,
SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ,
VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,
CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of
amendments

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

WO 01/89566 A1

(54) Title: GENE DETECTION ASSAY FOR IMPROVING THE LIKELIHOOD OF AN EFFECTIVE RESPONSE TO AN ERBB
ANTAGONIST CANCER THERAPY

(57) Abstract: The invention provides a method for more effective treatment of patients susceptible to or diagnosed with tumors overexpressing ErbB, as determined by a gene amplification assay, with an ErbB antagonist. Such method comprises administering a cancer-treating dose of the ErbB antagonist, preferably in addition to chemotherapeutic agents, to a subject in whose tumor cells ErbB has been found to be amplified e.g., by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. ErbB antagonists described include an anti-HER2 antibody. Pharmaceutical packaging for providing the components for such treatment is also provided.

**GENE DETECTION ASSAY FOR IMPROVING THE LIKELIHOOD OF AN
EFFECTIVE RESPONSE TO AN ErbB ANTAGONIST CANCER THERAPY**

5

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of provisional application 60/205,754, filed May 19, 2000, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

10

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention concerns the treatment of cancers characterized by the overexpression of of a tumor antigen, such as an ErbB receptor, particularly HER2. More specifically, the invention concerns more effective treatment of human patients susceptible to or diagnosed with cancer, in which the tumor cells overexpress ErbB as determined by a gene
15 amplification assay, with an ErbB antagonist, *e.g.*, an anti-ErbB antibody. The invention further provides pharmaceutical packages for such treatment.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Advancements in the understanding of genetics and developments in technology
20 and epidemiology have allowed for the correlation of genetic abnormalities with certain malignancies as well as risk assessment of an individual for developing certain malignancies. However, most of the methodologies available for evaluation of tissue for the presence of genes associated with or predisposing an individual to a malignancy have well-known drawbacks. For example, methods that require disaggregation of the tissue, such as Southern, Northern, or
25 Western blot analysis, are rendered less accurate by dilution of the malignant cells by the normal or otherwise non-malignant cells that are present in the same tissue. Furthermore, the resulting loss of tissue architecture precludes the ability to correlate malignant cells with the presence of genetic abnormalities in a context that allows morphological specificity. This issue is particularly problematic in tissue types known to be heterogeneous, such as in human breast carcinoma,
30 where a significant percentage of the cells present in any area may be non-malignant.

The *her2/neu* gene encodes a protein product, often identified as p185HER2. The

native p185HER2 protein is a membrane receptor-like molecule with homology to the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Amplification and overexpression of HER2 in human breast cancer has been correlated with shorter disease-free interval and shorter overall survival in some studies (van de Vijver *et al.* New Eng. J. Med. 317:1239 (1988); Walker *et al.* Br. J. Cancer 5 60:426 (1989); Tandon *et al.* J. Clin. Invest. 7:1120 (1989); Wright *et al.* Cancer Res. 49:2087 (1989); McCann *et al.* Cancer Res 51:3296 (1991); Paterson *et al.* Cancer Res. 51:556 (1991); and Winstanley *et al.* Br. J. Cancer 63:447 (1991)) but not in others (Zhou *et al.* Oncogene 4:105 (1989); Heintz *et al.* Arch Path Lab Med 114:160 (1990); Kury *et al.* Eur. J. Cancer 26:946 (1990); Clark *et al.* Cancer Res. 51:944 (1991); and Ravdin *et al.* J. Clin. Oncol. 12:467-74 10 (1994)).

In an initial evaluation of 103 patients with breast cancer, those having more than three tumor cell positive axillary lymph nodes (node positive) were more likely to overexpress HER2 protein than patients with less than three positive nodes (Slamon *et al.* Science 235:177 (1987)). In a subsequent evaluation of 86 node-positive patients with breast cancer, there was 15 a significant correlation among the extent of gene amplification, early relapse, and short survival. HER2 overexpression was determined using Southern and Northern blotting, which correlate with the HER2 oncoprotein expression evaluated by Western blotting and immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Slamon *et al.* Science 235:177 (1987); Slamon *et al.* Science 244:707 (1989)). The median period of survival was found to be approximately 5-fold shorter in patients with more 20 than five copies of the *her2* gene than in patients without gene amplification. This correlation was present even after correcting for nodal status and other prognostic factors in multivariate analyses. These studies were extended in 187 node-positive patients and indicated that gene amplification, increased amounts of mRNA (determined by Northern blotting), and increased protein expression (determined immunohistochemically) were also correlated with shortened 25 survival time (Slamon *et al.* Science 244:707 (1989)); (see also US Patent 4,968,603). Nelson *et al.* have compared *her2/neu* gene amplification using FISH with immunohistochemically determined overexpression in breast cancer (Nelson *et al.* Modern Pathology 9 (1) 21A (1996)).

Immunohistochemical staining of tissue sections has been shown to be a reliable 30 method of assessing alteration of proteins in a heterogeneous tissue. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) techniques utilize an antibody to probe and visualize cellular antigens *in situ*, generally by

chromagenic or fluorescent methods. This technique excels because it avoids the unwanted effects of disaggregation and allows for evaluation of individual cells in the context of morphology. In addition, the target protein is not altered by the freezing process.

However, in the clinical trial assay (CTA), IHC of formaldehyde-fixed, paraffin
5 embedded tissue samples only demonstrated 50%-80% sensitivity, relative to frozen IHC samples (Press, Cancer Research 54:2771 (1994)). Thus, IHC can lead to false negative results, excluding from treatment patients who might benefit from the treatment.

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) is a recently developed method for directly assessing the presence of genes in intact cells. FISH is an attractive means of evaluating
10 paraffin-embedded tissue for the presence of malignancy because it provides for cell specificity, yet overcomes the cross-linking problems and other protein-altering effects caused by formalin fixation. FISH has historically been combined with classical staining methodologies in an attempt to correlate genetic abnormalities with cellular morphology (see, e.g., Anastasi *et al.*, Blood 77:2456-2462 (1991); Anastasi *et al.*, Blood 79:1796-1801 (1992); Anastasi *et al.*, Blood
15 81:1580-1585 (1993); van Lom *et al.*, Blood 82:884-888 (1992); Wolman *et al.*, Diagnostic Molecular Pathology 1(3): 192-199 (1992); Zitzelberger, Journal of Pathology 172:325-335 (1994)).

To date, there has been no correlation of *her2* gene amplification with anti-HER2
antibody treatment outcome, only with disease prognosis. The standard assay has been IHC on
20 formalin fixed, paraffin embedded samples. These samples, when scored as 3+ or 2+, identify patients who are likely to benefit from treatment with an anti-HER2 antibody, like Herceptin®. The 3+ and 2+ scores correlate with *her2* gene amplification, e.g., as tested by FISH. However, there remains a need for more effective identification of candidates for successful ErbB antagonist therapies, such as Herceptin® treatment.

25

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention advantageously provides a method for increasing likelihood of effectiveness of an ErbB antagonist cancer treatment. The method comprises administering a cancer treating dose of the ErbB antagonist to a subject wherein an *erbB* gene in tumor cells in
30 a tissue sample from the subject has been found to be amplified. Preferably the ErbB is HER2. In a specific embodiment, the method further comprises administering a cancer treating dose of

a chemotherapeutic, particularly a taxol.

In a specific preferred embodiment, exemplified herein, the invention provides a method for increasing likelihood of effectiveness of an anti-HER2 antibody to treat cancer. This method comprises administering a cancer treating dose of the anti-HER2 antibody to the
5 subject in whom a *her2* gene in tumor cells in a tissue sample from the subject have been found to be amplified.

The unexpected clinical results underlying the invention, in which gene amplification proved to be a more effective indication of antibody-based tumor therapy than protein detection by immunohistochemistry, extends to tumor antigens in general. Thus, any
10 anti-tumor-specific antigen based antibody therapy can have increased likelihood of success in patients who are found to have gene amplification of the gene encoding the tumor antigen.

A particular advantage of the invention is that it permits selection of patients for treatment who, based on immunohistochemical criteria, would be excluded. Thus, in a specific embodiment, the subject has been found to have an antigen level corresponding to a 0 or 1+
15 score for HER2 by immunohistochemistry on a formaldehyde-fixed tissue sample.

The invention further provides a pharmaceutical package comprising an ErbB antagonist for treating a cancer, and instructions to administer the ErbB antagonist to a subject if an *erbB* gene in tumor cells in a tissue sample from the subject is amplified. Preferably the ErbB antagonist is an anti-ErbB antibody, such as an anti-HER2 antibody. In a further aspect,
20 the instructions also teach administering a cancer treating dose of a chemotherapeutic, *e.g.*, a taxol. Such pharmaceutical packages, including the instructions for use, can be provided for any antibody-based therapeutic specific for a tumor-specific antigen.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

25 The present invention advantageously permits treatment of patients who have a greater likelihood of responding to the treatment by administering therapeutic agents, *i.e.*, anti-tumor antigen therapeutic antibodies or ErbB receptor antagonists, to patients who are found to have an amplified gene encoding such a tumor antigen or ErbB receptor protein. The invention is based, in part, on the unexpected discovery that *her2* gene amplification, *e.g.*, as detected by
30 fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH), although it correlates with HER2 expression as detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC), provides a more accurate basis for selecting patients

for treatment because FISH status unexpectedly correlates better with response to treatment. This outcome was surprising in part because FISH status has about the same rate of correlation with a clinical trial assay (CTA) IHC assay as another IHC assay (HercepTest). Based on this observation, FISH would be expected to have a similar correlation with treatment response. This outcome also surprises because direct measurement of protein (by immunoassay) would be expected to provide a more accurate assessment of a cancer therapy targeted to the protein than an indirect measure of expression, like gene amplification.

Evaluation of patient groups and subgroups demonstrates the power of gene amplification analysis for selecting patients more likely to respond to treatment. IHC provides a score for HER2 expression on tumor cells: 0 (no expression) through 3+ (very high levels of expression). Clinical selection criteria exclude patients with 0 and 1+ scores and select patients with 2+ and 3+ scores. The data show that 14% of combined 2+/3+ patients respond to Herceptin®, while 20% of FISH+ (amplified *her2* gene) patients respond to Herceptin®. The 3+ subgroup has a 17% response rate, which is very close to the FISH+ subjects' response rate. However, the 2+ subgroup has less than half the response rate of FISH+ subjects. Thus, gene amplification clearly differentiates large sub-populations within the 2+ subgroup, permitting more effective treatment for those who are FISH+, and quickly identifying patients for whom alternative treatment modalities are appropriate and should commence immediately.

Gene amplification analysis also identifies patients who are unnecessarily excluded because of anomalies in the IHC analysis, particularly when the tests are performed on formalyn fixed, paraffin embedded samples (such sample processing can disrupt or destroy antibody epitopes on the HER2 protein, but has much less impact on gene amplification assays). As shown in the examples, a subset of 0 and 1+ subjects are FISH+. These patients are likely to respond to anti-HER2 antibody therapy, *e.g.*, with Herceptin®, although by IHC criteria they would be excluded from receiving this treatment.

Thus, the present invention advantageously permits inclusion of patients who are more likely to benefit from treatment but who, by standard IHC criteria, would be excluded from treatment. At the same time, the invention permits exclusion of patients who should promptly seek an alternative mode of treatment because the anti-tumor antigen therapy (*i.e.*, ErbB antagonist or tumor antigen-specific therapeutic antibody) is not likely to succeed.

In short, the present invention is a powerful adjunct to IHC assays for target

protein expression level-based selection of patients. It can also be employed on its own, *i.e.*, without IHC, to provide initial screening and selection of patients. The invention significantly improves screening and selection for subjects to receive a cancer-treating dose of an anti-tumor antigen therapeutic antibody treatment, ErbB receptor antagonist treatment, and other treatment
5 targeted to overexpressed tumor antigens (or tumor-specific antigens), resulting in an increased likelihood of benefit from such treatments.

In another aspect, the invention concerns an article of manufacture or package, comprising a container, a composition within the container comprising an ErbB antagonist, *e.g.*, an anti-ErbB antibody (or other anti-tumor-specific antigen antibody), optionally a label on or
10 associated with the container that indicates that the composition can be used for treating a condition characterized by overexpression of ErbB receptor, and a package insert containing instructions to administer the antagonist to patients who have been found to have an amplified *erbB* gene.

15

Definitions

As used herein, an "ErbB receptor" is a receptor protein tyrosine kinase which belongs to the ErbB receptor family and includes EGFR, HER2, ErbB3, and ErbB4 receptors, as well as TEGFR (US Patent No. 5,708,156) and other members of this family to be identified in the future. The ErbB receptor will generally comprise an extracellular domain, which may
20 bind an ErbB ligand; a lipophilic transmembrane domain; a conserved intracellular tyrosine kinase domain; and a carboxyl-terminal signaling domain harboring several tyrosine residues which can be phosphorylated. The ErbB receptor may be a native sequence ErbB receptor or an amino acid sequence variant thereof. Preferably the ErbB receptor is native sequence human ErbB receptor.

25

ErbB receptors are examples of tumor-specific antigens or tumor antigens. The term "tumor antigen" is used herein to refer to a protein that is expressed at a higher level on tumor cells compared to normal cells. Generally, the normal cells for comparison are of the same tissue type, particularly phenotype, as the tumor, or from which the tumor arose. A "tumor specific antigen" refers to an antigen expressed either preferentially or only on tumor cells.
30 Examples of tumor-specific antigens include, in addition to the ErbB receptors, MART1/Melan A, gp-100, and tyrosinase (in melanoma); MAGE-1 and MAGE-3 (in bladder, head and neck,

and non-small cell carcinoma); HPV EG and E7 proteins (in cervical cancer); Mucin/MUC-1 (in breast, pancreas, colon, and prostate cancers); prostate specific antigen/PSA (in prostate cancer); and carcinoembryonic antigen/CEA (in colon, breast, and gastrointestinal cancers).

By "amplification" is meant the presence of one or more extra gene copies of *erbB* or other tumor antigen-encoding gene in a chromosome complement. Gene amplification can result in overexpression of protein, *e.g.*, ErbB receptor protein. Gene amplification in cells from a tissue sample can be measured by many techniques, particularly Fluorescence *in situ* Hybridization (FISH), but also including and not limited to quantitative PCR, quantitative Southern hybridization, and the like.

By "tissue sample" is meant a collection of similar cells obtained from a tissue of a subject or patient, preferably containing nucleated cells with chromosomal material. The four main human tissues are (1) epithelium; (2) the connective tissues, including blood vessels, bone and cartilage; (3) muscle tissue; and (4) nerve tissue. The source of the tissue sample may be solid tissue as from a fresh, frozen and/or preserved organ or tissue sample or biopsy or aspirate; blood or any blood constituents; bodily fluids such as cerebral spinal fluid, amniotic fluid, peritoneal fluid, or interstitial fluid; cells from any time in gestation or development of the subject. The tissue sample may also be primary or cultured cells or cell lines. The tissue sample may contain compounds which are not naturally intermixed with the tissue in nature such as preservatives, anticoagulants, buffers, fixatives, nutrients, antibiotics, or the like. In one embodiment of the invention, the tissue sample is "non-hematologic tissue" (*i.e.*, not blood or bone marrow tissue).

For the purposes herein a "section" of a tissue sample is meant a single part or piece of a tissue sample, *e.g.*, a thin slice of tissue or cells cut from a tissue sample. It is understood that multiple sections of tissue samples may be taken and subjected to analysis according to the present invention, provided that it is understood that the present invention comprises a method whereby the same section of tissue sample may be analyzed at both morphological and molecular levels, or may be analyzed with respect to both protein and nucleic acid.

By "correlate" or "correlating" is meant comparing, in any way, the performance and/or results of a first analysis with the performance and/or results of a second analysis. For example, one may use the results of a first analysis in carrying out the second analysis and/or one

may use the results of a first analysis to determine whether a second analysis should be performed and/or one may compare the results of a first analysis with the results of a second analysis. In relation to IHC combined with FISH, one may use the results of IHC to determine whether FISH should be performed and/or one may compare the level of protein expression with gene
5 amplification to further characterize a tumor biopsy (*e.g.* to compare HER2 protein expression with *her2* gene amplification). One advantageous feature of the invention is the ability to identify patients likely to benefit from treatment using FISH even if IHC indicates that they are antigen low.

By "nucleic acid" is meant to include any DNA or RNA, for example,
10 chromosomal, mitochondrial, viral and/or bacterial nucleic acid present in tissue sample. The term "nucleic acid" encompasses either or both strands of a double stranded nucleic acid molecule and includes any fragment or portion of an intact nucleic acid molecule.

By "gene" is meant any nucleic acid sequence or portion thereof with a functional role in encoding or transcribing an RNA (rRNA, tRNA, or mRNA, the latter capable of
15 translation as a protein) or regulating other gene expression. The gene may consist of all the nucleic acids responsible for encoding a functional protein or only a portion of the nucleic acids responsible for encoding or expressing a protein. The nucleic acid sequence may contain a genetic abnormality within exons, introns, initiation or termination regions, promoter sequences, other regulatory sequences or unique adjacent regions to the gene.

By "ErbB ligand" is meant a polypeptide which binds to and/or activates an ErbB
20 receptor. The ErbB ligand of particular interest herein is a native sequence human ErbB ligand such as Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) (Savage *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 247:7612-7621 (1972)); Transforming Growth Factor alpha (TGF-alpha) (Marquardt *et al.*, Science 223:1079-1082 (1984)); amphiregulin, also known as schwannoma or keratinocyte autocrine growth factor
25 (Shoyab *et al.* Science 243:1074-1076 (1989); Kimura *et al.* Nature 348:257-260 (1990); and Cook *et al.* Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:2547-2557 (1991)); betacellulin (Shing *et al.*, Science 259:1604-1607 (1993); and Sasada *et al.* Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 190:1173 (1993)); heparin-binding epidermal growth factor (HB-EGF) (Higashiyama *et al.*, Science 251:936-939 (1991)); epiregulin (Toyoda *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 270:7495-7500 (1995); and Komurasaki *et al.*
30 Oncogene 15:2841-2848(1997)), a heregulin (see below); neuregulin-2 (NRG-2) (Carraway *et al.*, Nature 387:512-516 (1997)); neuregulin-3 (NRG-3) (Zhang *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

94:9562-9567 (1997)); or cripto (CR-1) (Kannan *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem. 272(6):3330-3335 (1997)). ErbB ligands that bind EGFR include EGF, TGF-alpha, amphiregulin, betacellulin, HB-EGF and epiregulin. ErbB ligands which bind HER3 include heregulins. ErbB ligands capable of binding HER4 include betacellulin, epiregulin, HB-EGF, NRG-2, NRG-3 and heregulins.

5 "Heregulin" (HRG) when used herein refers to a polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence encoded by the heregulin gene product as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,641,869 or Marchionni *et al.*, Nature, 362:312-318 (1993), and biologically active variants of such polypeptides. Examples of heregulins include heregulin-alpha, heregulin-beta1, heregulin-beta2, and heregulin-beta3 (Holmes *et al.*, Science, 256:1205-1210 (1992); and U.S. Patent No.
10 5,641,869); neu differentiation factor (NDF) (Peles *et al.* Cell 69: 205-216 (1992)); acetylcholine receptor-inducing activity (ARIA) (Falls *et al.* Cell 72:801-815 (1993)); glial growth factors (GGFs) (Marchionni *et al.*, Nature, 362:312-318 (1993)); sensory and motor neuron derived factor (SMDF) (Ho *et al.* J. Biol. Chem. 270:14523-14532 (1995)); gamma-heregulin (Schaefer *et al.* Oncogene 15:1385-1394 (1997)). An example of a biologically active fragment/amino acid
15 sequence variant of a native sequence HRG polypeptide, is an EGF-like domain fragment (*e.g.*, HRG-beta1, 177-244).

An "ErbB hetero-oligomer" herein is a noncovalently associated oligomer comprising at least two different ErbB receptors. Such complexes may form when a cell expressing two or more ErbB receptors is exposed to an ErbB ligand and can be isolated by
20 immunoprecipitation and analyzed by SDS-PAGE as described in Sliwkowski *et al.*, (J. Biol. Chem., 269(20):14661-14665 (1994)), for example. Examples of such ErbB hetero-oligomers include EGFR-HER2, HER2-HER3, and HER3-HER4 complexes. Moreover, the ErbB hetero-oligomer may comprise two or more HER2 receptors combined with a different ErbB receptor, such as HER3, HER4, or EGFR. Other proteins, such as a cytokine receptor subunit
25 (*e.g.*, gp130), may be included in the hetero-oligomer.

The terms "ErbB1", "epidermal growth factor receptor" and "EGFR" are used interchangeably herein and refer to native sequence EGFR as disclosed, for example, in Carpenter *et al.* (Ann. Rev. Biochem. 56:881-914 (1987)), including variants thereof (*e.g.*, a deletion mutant EGFR as in Humphrey *et al.*, (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:4207-4211 (1990)).
30 ErbB1 refers to the gene encoding the EGFR protein product. Examples of antibodies which bind to EGFR include MAb 579 (ATCC CRL HB 8506), Mab 455 (ATCC CRL HB 8507), MAb

225 (ATCC CRL 8508), MAb 528 (ATCC CRL 8509) (see, US Patent No. 4,943,533) and variants thereof, such as chimerized 225 (C225) and reshaped human 225 (H225) (see, PCT Publication No. WO 96/40210).

The expressions "ErbB2" and "HER2" are used interchangeably herein and refer to native sequence human HER2 protein described, for example, in Semba *et al.*, (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:6497-6501 (1985)) and Yamamoto *et al.* (Nature 319:230-234 (1986)) (Genebank accession number X03363), and variants thereof. The term *erbB2* refers to the gene encoding human HER2 and *neu* refers to the gene encoding rat p185neu. Preferred HER2 is native sequence human HER2. Examples of antibodies which bind HER2 include MAbs 4D5 (ATCC CRL 10463), 2C4 (ATCC HB-12697), 7F3 (ATCC HB-12216), and 7C2 (ATCC HB-12215) (see, US Patent No. 5,772,997; PCT Publication No. WO 98/77797; and US Patent No. 5,840,525, expressly incorporated herein by reference). Humanized anti-HER2 antibodies include huMAb4D5-1, huMAb4D5-2, huMAb4D5-3, huMAb4D5-4, huMAb4D5-5, huMAb4D5-6, huMAb4D5-7, and huMAb4D5-8 (Herceptin®) as described in Table 3 of U.S. Patent 5,821,337, which is expressly incorporated herein by reference; and humanized 520C9 (PCT Publication No. WO 93/21319). Human anti-HER2 antibodies are described in U.S. Patent No. 5,772,997 and PCT Publication No. WO 97/00271.

"ErbB3" and "HER3" refer to the receptor polypeptide as disclosed, for example, in US Patent Nos. 5,183,884 and 5,480,968, as well as Kraus *et al.* (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:9193-9197 (1989)), including variants thereof. Exemplary antibodies that bind HER3 are described in US Patent No. 5,968,511, *e.g.*, the 8B8 antibody (ATCC HB-12070) or a humanized variant thereof. The terms "ErbB4" and "HER4" herein refer to the receptor polypeptide as disclosed, for example, in European Application No. EP 599,274; Plowman *et al.*, (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 90:1746-1750 (1993)); and Plowman *et al.*, (Nature, 366:473-475 (1993)), including variants thereof such as the HER4 isoforms disclosed in PCT Publication No. WO 99/19488.

An "ErbB antagonist" is any molecule that binds to an ErbB receptor and blocks ligand activation of the ErbB receptor. Such antagonists include, but are not limited to, modified ligands, ligand peptides (*i.e.*, ligand fragments), soluble ErbB receptors, and, preferably, anti-ErbB antibodies.

"Treatment" refers to both therapeutic treatment and prophylactic or preventative

measures. Those in need of treatment include those already with the disorder as well as those in which the disorder is to be prevented.

"Mammal" for purposes of treatment refers to any animal classified as a mammal, including humans, domestic and farm animals, and zoo, sports, or pet animals, such as dogs, horses, cats, cows, *etc.* Preferably, the mammal is human.

A "disorder" is any condition that would benefit from treatment with the ErbB antagonist, *e.g.*, anti-ErbB2 antibody, and more generally, any cancer in which administration of an antibody against an over-expressed antigen can treat the cancer. This includes chronic and acute disorders or diseases including those pathological conditions which predispose the mammal to the disorder in question. Non-limiting examples of disorders to be treated herein include benign and malignant tumors; leukemias and lymphoid malignancies; neuronal, glial, astrocytal, hypothalamic and other glandular, macrophagal, epithelial, stromal and blastocoelic disorders; and inflammatory, angiogenic and immunologic disorders.

The term "therapeutically effective amount" is used to refer to an amount having antiproliferative effect. Preferably, the therapeutically effective amount elicits antibody-mediated cytotoxicity, activates complement, has apoptotic activity, or is capable of inducing cell death, and preferably death of benign or malignant tumor cells, in particular cancer cells. Efficacy can be measured in conventional ways, depending on the condition to be treated. For cancer therapy, efficacy can, for example, be measured by assessing the time for disease progression (TTP), survival, tumor size, or determining the response rates (RR) (see the Example below).

The terms "cancer" and "cancerous" refer to or describe the physiological condition in mammals that is typically characterized by unregulated cell growth. Examples of cancer include but are not limited to, carcinoma, lymphoma, blastoma, sarcoma, melanoma, and leukemia. More particular examples of such cancers include squamous cell cancer, small-cell lung cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer, adenocarcinoma of the lung, squamous carcinoma of the lung, cancer of the peritoneum, hepatocellular cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, pancreatic cancer, glioblastoma, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, liver cancer, bladder cancer, hepatoma, breast cancer, colon cancer, colorectal cancer, endometrial or uterine carcinoma, salivary gland carcinoma, kidney cancer, liver cancer, prostate cancer, vulval cancer, thyroid cancer, hepatic carcinoma, and various types of head and neck cancer.

An "ErbB-expressing cancer" is one comprising cells which have ErbB protein

present at their cell surface, such that an anti-ErbB antibody is able to bind to the cancer.

The term "cytotoxic agent" as used herein refers to a substance that inhibits or prevents the function of cells and/or causes destruction of cells. The term is intended to include radioactive isotopes (*e.g.* I^{131} , I^{125} , Y^{90} , and Re^{186}), chemotherapeutic agents, and toxins such as enzymatically active toxins of bacterial, fungal, plant or animal origin, or fragments thereof.

A "chemotherapeutic agent" is a chemical compound useful in the treatment of cancer. Examples of chemotherapeutic agents include alkylating agents such as thiotepa and cyclophosphamide (CYTOXANTM); alkyl sulfonates such as busulfan, improsulfan and piposulfan; aziridines such as benzodopa, carboquone, meturedopa, and uredopa; ethylenimines and methylamelamines including altretamine, triethylenemelamine, trietylenephosphoramide, triethylenethiophosphoramide and trimethylolomelamine; nitrogen mustards such as chlorambucil, chlornaphazine, cholophosphamide, estramustine, ifosfamide, mechlorethamine, mechlorethamine oxide hydrochloride, melphalan, novembichin, phenesterine, prednimustine, trofosfamide, uracil mustard; nitrosureas such as carmustine, chlorozotocin, fotemustine, lomustine, nimustine, ranimustine; antibiotics such as aclacinomysins, actinomycin, authramycin, azaserine, bleomycins, cactinomycin, calicheamicin, carabycin, carzinophilin, chromomycins, dactinomycin, daunorubicin, 6-diazo-5-oxo-L-norleucine, doxorubicin, epirubicin, mitomycins, mycophenolic acid, nogalamycin, olivomycins, peplomycin, potfiromycin, puromycin, streptonigrin, streptozocin, tubercidin, ubenimex, zinostatin, zorubicin; anti-metabolites such as methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU); folic acid analogues such as denopterin, methotrexate, pteropterin, trimetrexate; purine analogs such as fludarabine, 6-mercaptopurine, thiamiprine, thioguanine; pyrimidine analogs such as ancitabine, azacitidine, 6-azauridine, carmofur, cytarabine, dideoxyuridine, doxifluridine, enocitabine, floxuridine, 5-FU; androgens such as calusterone, dromostanolone propionate, epitio stanol, mepitio stanol, testolactone; anti-adrenals such as aminoglutethimide, mitotane, trilostane; folic acid replenisher such as frolinic acid; aceglatone; aldophosphamide glycoside; aminolevulinic acid; amsacrine; bestabucil; bisantrene; edatraxate; defofamine; demecolcine; diaziquone; elfornithine; elliptinium acetate; etoglucid; gallium nitrate; hydroxyurea; lentinan; lonidamine; mitoguazone; mitoxantrone; mopidamol; nitracrine; pentostatin; phenamet; pirarubicin; podophyllinic acid; 2-ethylhydrazide; procarbazine; PSK®; razoxane; sizofiran; spirogermanium; tenuazonic acid; triaziquone; 2, 2',2''-trichlorotriethylamine; urethan; vindesine; dacarbazine; maninomustine; mitobronitol;

mitolactol; pipobroman; gacytosine; arabinoside ("Ara-C"); cyclophosphamide; thiotepa; taxoids, e.g. paclitaxel (TAXOL®, Bristol-Myers Squibb Oncology, Princeton, NJ) and doxorubicin (Taxotere, Rhône-Poulenc Rorer, Antony, France); chlorambucil; gemcitabine; 6-thioguanine; mercaptopurine; methotrexate; platinum analogs such as cisplatin and carboplatin; vinblastine; 5 platinum; etoposide (VP-16); ifosfamide; mitomycin C; mitoxantrone; vincristine; vinorelbine; navelbine; novantrone; teniposide; daunomycin; carminomycin; aminopterin; xeloda; ibandronate; CPT-11; topoisomerase inhibitor RFS 2000; difluoromethylornithine (DMFO); retinoic acid; esperamicins; capecitabine; and pharmaceutically acceptable salts, acids or derivatives of any of the above. Also included in this definition are hormonal agents that act to 10 regulate or inhibit hormone action on tumors such as anti-estrogens including for example tamoxifen, raloxifene, aromatase inhibiting 4(5)-imidazoles, 4-hydroxytamoxifen, trioxifene, keoxifene, LY117018, onapristone; and anti-androgens such as flutamide and nilutamide; and pharmaceutically acceptable salts, acids or derivatives of any of the above.

A "growth inhibitory agent" when used herein refers to a compound or 15 composition which inhibits growth of a cell, especially an ErbB-overexpressing cancer cell either *in vitro* or *in vivo*. Thus, the growth inhibitory agent is one which significantly reduces the percentage of ErbB overexpressing cells in S phase. Examples of growth inhibitory agents include agents that block cell cycle progression (at a place other than S phase), such as agents that induce G1 arrest and M-phase arrest. Classical M-phase blockers include the vincas 20 (vincristine and vinblastine), TAXOL®, and topo II inhibitors such as doxorubicin, epirubicin, daunorubicin, etoposide, and bleomycin. Those agents that arrest G1 also spill over into S-phase arrest, for example, DNA alkylating agents such as tamoxifen, prednisone, dacarbazine, mechlorethamine, cisplatin, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil, and ara-C. Further information can be found in *The Molecular Basis of Cancer*, Mendelsohn and Israel, eds., Chapter 1, entitled "Cell 25 cycle regulation, oncogenes, and antineoplastic drugs" by Murakami *et al.* (WB Saunders: Philadelphia, 1995), especially p. 13. The 4D5 antibody (and functional equivalents thereof) can also be employed for this purpose.

ErbB Receptor Tyrosine Kinases

30 The ErbB receptor tyrosine kinases are important mediators of cell growth, differentiation and survival. The receptor family includes at least four distinct members

including Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR or ErbB1), HER2 (ErbB2 or p185neu), HER3 (ErbB3), and HER4 (ErbB4 or tyro2).

EGFR, encoded by the *ErbB1* gene, has been causally implicated in human malignancy. In particular, increased expression of EGFR has been observed in breast, bladder, lung, head, neck and stomach cancer, as well as glioblastomas. Increased EGFR receptor expression is often associated with increased production of the EGFR ligand, Transforming Growth Factor alpha (TGF-alpha), by the same tumor cells resulting in receptor activation by an autocrine stimulatory pathway. Baselga and Mendelsohn *Pharmac. Ther.* 64:127-154 (1994). Monoclonal antibodies directed against the EGFR or its ligands, TGF-alpha and EGF, have been evaluated as therapeutic agents in the treatment of such malignancies. See, e.g., Baselga and Mendelsohn, *supra*; Masui *et al.* *Cancer Research* 44:1002-1007 (1984); and Wu *et al.* *J. Clin. Invest.* 95:1897-1905 (1995).

The second member of the ErbB family, p185neu, was originally identified as the product of the transforming gene from neuroblastomas of chemically treated rats. The activated form of the neu proto-oncogene results from a point mutation (valine to glutamic acid) in the transmembrane region of the encoded protein. Amplification of the human homolog of neu is observed in breast and ovarian cancers and correlates with a poor prognosis (Slamon *et al.*, *Science*, 235:177-182(1987); Slamon *et al.*, *Science*, 244:707-712 (1989); and US Patent No. 4,968,603). To date, no point mutation analogous to that in the neu proto-oncogene has been reported for human tumors. Overexpression of HER2 (frequently but not uniformly due to gene amplification) has also been observed in other carcinomas including carcinomas of the stomach, endometrium, salivary gland, lung, kidney, colon, thyroid, pancreas and bladder.

Antibodies directed against the rat p185neu and human HER2 protein products have been described. Drebin and colleagues have raised antibodies against the rat neu gene product, p185neu (see, for example, Drebin *et al.*, *Cell* 41:695-706 (1985); Myers *et al.*, *Meth. Enzym.* 198:277-290 (1991); and WO94/22478). Drebin *et al.* (*Oncogene* 2:273-277(1988)) report that mixtures of antibodies reactive with two distinct regions of p185neu result in synergistic anti-tumor effects on neu-transformed NIH-3T3 cells implanted into nude mice (see also U.S. Patent 5,824,311).

Hudziak *et al.*, (*Mol. Cell. Biol.* 9(3):1165-1172 (1989)) describe the generation of a panel of anti-HER2 antibodies, which were characterized using the human breast tumor cell

line SKBR3. Relative cell proliferation of the SKBR3 cells following exposure to the antibodies was determined by crystal violet staining of the monolayers after 72 hours. Using this assay, maximum inhibition was obtained with the antibody called 4D5, which inhibited cellular proliferation by 56%. Other antibodies in the panel reduced cellular proliferation to a lesser extent in this assay. The antibody 4D5 was further found to sensitize HER2-overexpressing breast tumor cell lines to the cytotoxic effects of TNF-alpha (see, also, U.S. Patent No. 5,677,171). The anti-HER2 antibodies discussed in Hudziak *et al.* were further characterized (Fendly *et al.* Cancer Research 50:1550-1558 (1990); Kotts *et al.* In Vitro 26(3):59A (1990); Sarup *et al.* Growth Regulation 1:72-82 (1991); Shepard *et al.* J. Clin. Immunol. 11(3):117-127 (1991); Kumar *et al.* Mol. Cell. Biol. 11(2):979-986 (1991); Lewis *et al.* Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 37:255-263 (1993); Pietras *et al.* Oncogene 9:1829-1838 (1994); Vitetta *et al.* Cancer Research 54:5301-5309 (1994); Sliwkowski *et al.* J. Biol. Chem. 269(20):14661-14665 (1994); Scott *et al.* J. Biol. Chem. 266:14300-5 (1991); D'souza *et al.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 91:7202-7206 (1994); Lewis *et al.* Cancer Research 56:1457-1465 (1996); and Schaefer *et al.* Oncogene 15:1385-1394 (1997)).

A recombinant humanized IgG1 version of the murine anti-HER2 antibody 4D5 (rhumaB HER2 or Herceptin®; commercially available from Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco) is clinically active in patients with HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancers that have received extensive prior anti-cancer therapy (Baselga *et al.*, J. Clin. Oncol. 14:737-744 (1996)). Herceptin® received marketing approval from the Food and Drug Administration September 25, 1998 for the treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer whose tumors overexpress the HER2 protein. The current treatment protocol employs IHC to determine HER2 protein overexpression.

Other anti-HER2 antibodies with various properties have been described (Tagliabue *et al.*, Int. J. Cancer 47:933-937 (1991); McKenzie *et al.*, Oncogene 4:543-548 (1989); Maier *et al.*, Cancer Res. 51:5361-5369 (1991); Bacus *et al.*, Molecular Carcinogenesis 3:350-362 (1990); Stancovski *et al.*, (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA) 88:8691-8695 (1991); Bacus *et al.*, Cancer Research 52:2580-2589 (1992); Xu *et al.* Int. J. Cancer 53:401-408 (1993); PCT Publication No. WO94/00136; Kasprzyk *et al.*, Cancer Research 52:2771-2776 (1992); Hancock *et al.*, Cancer Res. 51:4575-4580 (1991); Shawver *et al.*, Cancer Res. 54:1367-1373 (1994); Arteaga *et al.* Cancer Res. 54:3758-3765 (1994); Harwerth *et al.*, J. Biol. Chem.

267:15160-15167 (1992); U.S. Patent No. 5,783,186; Klapper *et al.* Oncogene 14:2099-2109 (1997); and PCT Publication No. WO 98/77797).

Homology screening has resulted in the ErbB receptor family members: HER3 (US Pat. Nos. 5,183,884 and 5,480,968; Kraus *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:9193-9197 (1989)) and HER4 (European Patent Application No. EP 599 274; Plowman *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 90:1746-1750 (1993); and Plowman *et al.*, Nature, 366:473-475 (1993)). Both of these receptors display increased expression on at least some breast cancer cell lines.

The ErbB receptors are generally found in various combinations in cells and heterodimerization is thought to increase the diversity of cellular responses to a variety of ErbB ligands (Earp *et al.*, Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 35: 115-132 (1995)). EGFR is bound by six different ligands: Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF), Transforming Growth Factor- α (TGF- α), amphiregulin, Heparin Binding Epidermal Growth Factor (HB-EGF), betacellulin, and epiregulin (Groenen *et al.* Growth Factors 11:235-257 (1994)). A family of heregulin proteins resulting from alternative splicing of a single gene are ligands for HER3 and HER4. The heregulin family includes α , β , and γ heregulins (Holmes *et al.*, Science, 256:1205-1210 (1992); U.S. Patent No. 5,641,869; and Schaefer *et al.*, Oncogene 15:1385-1394 (1997)); neu differentiation factors (NDFs), glial growth factors (GGFs); acetylcholine receptor inducing activity (ARIA); and sensory and motoneuron derived factor (SMDF) (for a review, see Groenen *et al.*, Growth Factors 11:235-257 (1994); Lemke, G. Molec. & Cell. Neurosci. 7:247-262(1996) and Lee *et al.* Pharm. Rev. 47:51-85 (1995)). Recently, two additional ErbB ligands were identified: neuregulin-2 (NRG-2), which is reported to bind either HER3 or HER4 (Chang *et al.*, Nature: 387 509-512 (1997); and Carraway *et al.* Nature 387:512-516 (1997)) and neuregulin-3, which binds HER4 (Zhang *et al.*, (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA) 94(18):9562-7 (1997)). HB-EGF, betacellulin, and epiregulin also bind to HER4.

While EGF and TGF- α do not bind HER2, EGF stimulates EGFR and HER2 to form a heterodimer, which activates EGFR and results in transphosphorylation of HER2 in the heterodimer. Dimerization and/or transphosphorylation appears to activate the HER2 tyrosine kinase, (Earp *et al.*, *supra.*) Likewise, when HER3 is co-expressed with HER2, an active signaling complex is formed and antibodies directed against HER2 are capable of disrupting this complex (Sliwkowski *et al.*, J. Biol.Chem., 269(20):14661-14665 (1994)). Additionally, the affinity of HER3 for heregulin (HRG) is increased to a higher affinity state when co-expressed

with HER2. See also, Levi *et al.*, Journal of Neuroscience 15: 1329-1340 (1995); Morrissey *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92:1431-1435 (1995); and Lewis *et al.*, Cancer Res., 56:1457-1465 (1996) with respect to the HER2-HER3 protein complex. HER4, like HER3, forms an active signaling complex with HER2 (Carraway and Cantley, Cell 78:5-8(1994)).

5

Detecting Gene Amplification

The present invention contemplates using any technique to detect gene amplification. (see, Boxer, J. Clin. Pathol. 53: 19-21(2000)). These techniques include *in situ* hybridization (Stoler, Clin. Lab. Med. 12:215-36 (1990), using radioisotope or fluorophore-
10 labeled probes; polymerase chain reaction (PCR); quantitative Southern blotting, and other techniques for quantitating individual genes. Preferably probes or primers selected for gene amplification evaluation are highly specific, to avoid detecting closely related homologous genes.

The word "label" when used herein refers to a compound or composition which
15 is conjugated or fused directly or indirectly to a reagent such as a nucleic acid probe or an antibody and facilitates detection of the reagent to which it is conjugated or fused. The label may itself be detectable (*e.g.*, radioisotope labels or fluorescent labels) or, in the case of an enzymatic label, may catalyze chemical alteration of a substrate compound or composition which is detectable. A hapten or epitope that is immunospecifically bound by an antibody can also serve
20 as a label.

The term "fluorescently labeled nucleic acid probe" refers to a probe comprising
(1) a nucleic acid having a sequence rendering it capable of hybridizing with a target nucleic acid sequence and (2) a fluorescent label. Preferably such hybridization is specific, *i.e.*, it can occur under high stringency conditions.

25

Sample Preparation

Any tissue sample from a subject may be used. Examples of tissue samples that may be used include, but are not limited to, breast, prostate, ovary, colon, lung, endometrium, stomach, salivary gland or pancreas. The tissue sample can be obtained by a variety of procedures including, but not limited to surgical excision, aspiration, or biopsy. The tissue may
30 be fresh or frozen. In one embodiment, the tissue sample is fixed and embedded in paraffin or the like.

The tissue sample may be fixed (*i.e.*, preserved) by conventional methodology (See *e.g.*, *Manual of Histological Staining Method of the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology*, 3rd Edition Lee G. Luna, HT (ASCP) Editor, The Blakston Division McGraw-Hill Book Company: New York; (1960); *The Armed Forces Institute of Pathology Advanced Laboratory Methods in Histology and Pathology* (1994) Ulreka V. Mikel, Editor, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, American Registry of Pathology, Washington, D.C.). One of skill in the art will appreciate that the choice of a fixative is determined by the purpose for which the tissue is to be histologically stained or otherwise analyzed. One of skill in the art will also appreciate that the length of fixation depends upon the size of the tissue sample and the fixative used. By way of example, neutral buffered formalin, Bouin's or paraformaldehyde, may be used to fix a tissue sample.

Generally, the tissue sample is first fixed and is then dehydrated through an ascending series of alcohols, infiltrated, and embedded with paraffin or other sectioning media so that the tissue sample may be sectioned. Alternatively, one may section the tissue and fix the sections obtained. By way of example, the tissue sample may be embedded and processed in paraffin by conventional methodology. Examples of paraffin that may be used include, but are not limited to, Paraplast, Brolloid, and Tissuemay. Once the tissue sample is embedded, the sample may be sectioned by a microtome or the like. By way of example for this procedure, sections may range from about three microns to about five microns in thickness. Once sectioned, the sections may be attached to slides by several standard methods. Examples of slide adhesives include, but are not limited to, silane, gelatin, poly-L-lysine, and the like. For example, the paraffin embedded sections may be attached to positively charged slides, slides coated with poly-L-lysine.

If paraffin has been used as the embedding material, the tissue sections are generally deparaffinized and rehydrated to water. The tissue sections may be deparaffinized by several conventional standard methodologies. For example, xylenes and a gradually descending series of alcohols may be used. Alternatively, commercially available deparaffinizing non-organic agents such as Hemo-De7 (CMS, Houston, Texas) may be used.

Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH)

In situ hybridization is generally carried out on cells or tissue sections fixed to slides. *In situ* hybridization may be performed by several conventional methodologies (see, *e.g.*,

Leitch *et al.*, *In Situ Hybridization: A Practical Guide*, Oxford BIOS Scientific Publishers, Microscopy Handbooks v. 27 (1994)). In one *in situ* procedure, fluorescent dyes (such as fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) which fluoresces green when excited by an Argon ion laser) are used to label a nucleic acid sequence probe that is complementary to a target nucleotide
5 sequence in the cell. Each cell containing the target nucleotide sequence will bind the labeled probe producing a fluorescent signal upon exposure, of the cells to a light source of a wavelength appropriate for excitation of the specific fluorochrome used. A "target nucleotide sequence" is a sequence specific for a over-expressed tumor antigen, such as ErbB. FISH analysis can be used in conjunction with other assays, including without limitation morphological staining (of serial
10 sections or the same section; see PCT Publication No. WO 00/20641, specifically incorporated herein by reference).

Various degrees of hybridization stringency can be employed. As the hybridization conditions become more stringent, a greater degree of complementarity is required between the probe and target to form and maintain a stable duplex. Stringency is increased by raising
15 temperature, lowering salt concentration, or raising formamide concentration. Adding dextran sulfate or raising its concentration may also increase the effective concentration of labeled probe to increase the rate of hybridization and ultimate signal intensity. After hybridization, slides are washed in a solution generally containing reagents similar to those found in the hybridization solution with washing time varying from minutes to hours depending on required stringency.
20 Longer or more stringent washes typically lower nonspecific background but run the risk of decreasing overall sensitivity.

Probes used in the FISH analysis may be either RNA or DNA oligonucleotides or polynucleotides and may contain not only naturally occurring nucleotides but their analogs like digoxigenin dCTP, biotin dCTP 7-azaguanosine, azidothymidine, inosine, or uridine. Other
25 useful probes include peptide probes and analogues thereof, branched gene DNA, peptidomimetics, peptide nucleic acid (PNA), and/or antibodies.

Probes should have sufficient complementarity to the target nucleic acid sequence of interest so that stable and specific binding occurs between the target nucleic acid sequence and the probe. The degree of homology required for stable hybridization varies with the stringency
30 of the hybridization medium and/or wash medium. Preferably, completely homologous probes are employed in the present invention, but persons of skill in the art will readily appreciate that

probes exhibiting lesser but sufficient homology can be used in the present invention (see *e.g.*, Sambrook, J., et al., *Molecular Cloning A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Press, (1989)).

One of skill in the art will appreciate that the choice of probe depends on the characteristics of the target gene of interest. Examples of amplification include, but are not limited to, *her2/neu* in breast and ovarian cancer, *n-myc* in neuroblastoma, *c-myc* in small cell lung cancer. By way of example for evaluating *her2/neu* amplification a probe spanning a 140 kb region on the long arm of chromosome 17 containing the *her2/neu* gene (17q 11.2-17q12) may be used. A probe for the -satellite sequences in the centromeric region of chromosome 17(D1721) may be used to evaluate for aneusomy of chromosome 17 as a source or cause for *her2/neu* amplification. For example, a cocktailed version of these probes may be obtained from Vysis, Inc. where each probe is directly labeled with easily distinguishable fluorophores, such as SPECTRUM ORANGE7 and SPECTRUM GREEN7.

Probes may also be generated and chosen by several means including, but not limited to, mapping by *in situ* hybridization, somatic cell hybrid panels, or spot blots of sorted chromosomes; chromosomal linkage analysis; or cloned and isolated from sorted chromosome libraries from human cell lines or somatic cell hybrids with human chromosomes, radiation somatic cell hybrids, microdissection of a chromosome region, or from yeast artificial chromosomes (YACs) identified by PCR primers specific for a unique chromosome locus or other suitable means like an adjacent YAC clone. Probes may be genomic DNA, cDNA, or RNA cloned in a plasmid, phage, cosmid, YAC, Bacterial Artificial Chromosomes (BACs), viral vector, or any other suitable vector. Probes may be cloned or synthesized chemically by conventional methods. When cloned, the isolated probe nucleic acid fragments are typically inserted into a vector, such as lambda phage, pBR322, M13, or vectors containing the SP6 or T7 promoter and cloned as a library in a bacterial host (see, *e.g.*, Sambrook, *supra*).

Probes are preferably labeled with a fluorophor. Examples of fluorophores include, but are not limited to, rare earth chelates (europium chelates), Texas Red, rhodamine, fluorescein, dansyl, Lissamine, umbelliferone, phycocrytherin, phycocyanin, or commercially available fluorophors such SPECTRUM ORANGE7 and SPECTRUM GREEN7, and/or derivatives of any one or more of the above. Multiple probes used in the assay may be labeled

with more than one distinguishable fluorescent or pigment color. These color differences provide a means to identify the hybridization positions of specific probes. Moreover, probes that are not separated spatially can be identified by a different color light or pigment resulting from mixing two other colors (e.g., light red+green=yellow), pigment (e.g., blue+yellow=green), or by using
5 a filter set that passes only one color at a time.

Probes can be labeled directly or indirectly with the fluorophor, utilizing conventional methodology. Additional probes and colors may be added to refine and extend this general procedure to include more genetic abnormalities or serve as internal controls. By way of example the *her2/neu* gene is in chromosome 17, and as an internal control a probe for satellite
10 sequences specific for chromosome 17 (D17Z1) may be used (Vysis, Inc.) to prove diploidy in areas of non-malignant cells and/or to establish the presence or absence of chromosome 17 aneusomy in areas of *her2/neu* amplification.

After processing for FISH, the slides may be analyzed by standard techniques of fluorescence microscopy (see, e.g., Ploem and Tanke, *Introduction to Fluorescence Microscopy*,
15 Oxford University Press: New York (1987)). Briefly, each slide is observed using a microscope equipped with appropriate excitation filters, dichromic, and barrier filters. Filters are chosen based on the excitation and emission spectra of the fluorochromes used. Photographs of the slides may be taken with the length of time of film exposure depending on the fluorescent label used, the signal intensity and the filter chosen. For FISH analysis the physical loci of the cells
20 of interest determined in the morphological analysis are recalled and visually conformed as being the appropriate area for FISH quantification.

In order to correlate IHC with FISH, one may use a computer-driven, motorized stage which stores location of co-ordinates. This may be used to evaluate the same area by two different analytical techniques. For example, color images of the morphologically stained areas
25 may be captured and saved using a computer-assisted cooled CCD camera. The same section may be subsequently taken through the FISH procedure, the stored locations recalled, and the designated areas scored for the presence of fluorescent nuclear signals. A similar procedure for IHC followed by FISH is contemplated.

Typically, hundreds of cells are scanned in a tissue sample and quantification of
30 the specific target nucleic acid sequence is determined in the form of fluorescent spots, which are counted relative to the number of cells. Deviation of the number of spots in a cell from a

norm (e.g., such as probing for the *her2/neu* gene in a normal cell will produce two copies, abnormal greater than two) is indicative of a greater likelihood of benefit from a tumor antigen-specific antibody therapy, e.g., an ErbB antagonist therapy. As exemplified *infra*, *her2* gene amplification provides a much more effective indication of the likelihood that an anti-HER2
5 antibody therapy will be effective.

Pharmaceutical Formulations

Therapeutic formulations of the antagonists, e.g., antibodies, used in accordance with the present invention are prepared for storage by mixing an antibody having the desired
10 degree of purity with optional pharmaceutically acceptable carriers, excipients or stabilizers (*Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences* 17th edition, Osol, A. Ed.), in the form of lyophilized formulations or aqueous solutions. Acceptable carriers, excipients, or stabilizers are nontoxic to recipients at the dosages and concentrations employed, and include buffers such as phosphate, citrate, and other organic acids; antioxidants including ascorbic acid and methionine;
15 preservatives (such as octadecyldimethylbenzyl ammonium chloride; hexamethonium chloride; benzalkonium chloride, benzethonium chloride; phenol, butyl or benzyl alcohol; alkyl parabens such as methyl or propyl paraben; catechol; resorcinol; cyclohexanol; 3-pentanol; and m-cresol); low molecular weight (less than about 10 residues) polypeptides; proteins, such as serum albumin, gelatin, or immunoglobulins; hydrophilic polymers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone; amino
20 acids such as glycine, glutamine, asparagine, histidine, arginine, or lysine; monosaccharides, disaccharides, and other carbohydrates including glucose, mannose, or dextrans; chelating agents such as EDTA; sugars such as sucrose, mannitol, trehalose or sorbitol; salt-forming counter-ions such as sodium; metal complexes (e.g. Zn-protein complexes); and/or non-ionic surfactants such as TWEEN™, PLURONICS™ or polyethylene glycol (PEG). Preferred lyophilized anti-ErbB2
25 antibody formulations are described in WO 97/04801, expressly incorporated herein by reference.

The formulation herein may also contain more than one active compound as necessary for the particular indication being treated, preferably those with complementary activities that do not adversely affect each other. For example, it may be desirable to further provide antibodies which bind to EGFR, ErbB2, ErbB3, ErbB4, vascular endothelial factor
30 (VEGF), or an antibody that binds to a different epitope on the target ErbB, in the one formulation. Alternatively, or in addition, the composition may comprise a cytotoxic agent, a

chemotherapeutic, a cytokine, growth inhibitory agent and/or cardioprotectant. Such molecules are suitably present in combination in amounts that are effective for the purpose intended.

The active ingredients may also be entrapped in microcapsules prepared, for example, by coacervation techniques or by interfacial polymerization, for example, hydroxymethylcellulose or gelatin-microcapsules and poly-(methylmethacrylate) microcapsules, respectively, in colloidal drug delivery systems (for example, liposomes, albumin microspheres, microemulsions, nano-particles and nanocapsules) or in macroemulsions. Such techniques are disclosed in *Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences* 17th edition, Osol, A. Ed..

The formulations to be used for *in vivo* administration are preferably, and in the case of humans, must be, sterile. This is readily accomplished by filtration through sterile filtration membranes.

Sustained-release preparations may be prepared. Suitable examples of sustained-release preparations include semipermeable matrices of solid hydrophobic polymers containing the antibody, which matrices are in the form of shaped articles, e.g. films, or microcapsules. Examples of sustained-release matrices include polyesters, hydrogels (for example, poly(2-hydroxyethyl-methacrylate), or poly(vinylalcohol)), polylactides (U.S. Pat. No. 3,773,919), copolymers of L-glutamic acid and γ ethyl-L-glutamate, non-degradable ethylene-vinyl acetate, degradable lactic acid-glycolic acid copolymers such as the LUPRON DEPOT™ (injectable microspheres composed of lactic acid-glycolic acid copolymer and leuprolide acetate), and poly-D-(-)-3-hydroxybutyric acid. While polymers such as ethylene-vinyl acetate and lactic acid-glycolic acid enable release of molecules for over 100 days, certain hydrogels release proteins for shorter time periods. When encapsulated antibodies remain in the body for a long time, they may denature or aggregate as a result of exposure to moisture at 37°C, resulting in a loss of biological activity and possible changes in immunogenicity. Rational strategies can be devised for stabilization depending on the mechanism involved. For example, if the aggregation mechanism is discovered to be intermolecular S-S bond formation through thio-disulfide interchange, stabilization may be achieved by modifying sulfhydryl residues, lyophilizing from acidic solutions, controlling moisture content, using appropriate additives, and developing specific polymer matrix compositions.

Treatment with the Anti-ErbB Antagonists

It is contemplated that, according to the present invention, the anti-ErbB antibodies or other antagonists may be used to treat various conditions characterized by overexpression and/or activation of the ErbB receptor in patients who have been found to have an amplified *erbB* gene. Exemplary conditions or disorders include benign or malignant tumors (e.g. renal, liver, kidney, bladder, breast, gastric, ovarian, colorectal, prostate, pancreatic, lung, vulval, thyroid, hepatic carcinomas; sarcomas; glioblastomas; and various head and neck tumors); leukemias and lymphoid malignancies; other disorders such as neuronal, glial, astrocytal, hypothalamic, glandular, macrophagal, epithelial, stromal, blastocoelic, inflammatory, angiogenic and immunologic disorders.

The antibodies, chemotherapeutic agents and any other active agents of the invention are administered to a human patient in accord with known methods, such as intravenous administration as a bolus or by continuous infusion over a period of time, by intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intracerebrospinal, subcutaneous, intra-articular, intrasynovial, intrathecal, oral, topical, or inhalation routes. Intravenous or subcutaneous administration of the antibody is preferred.

In one embodiment, the treatment of the present invention involves the combined administration of an anti-ErbB antibody and a chemotherapeutic agent, e.g., a taxoid. The present invention contemplates administration of cocktails of different chemotherapeutic agents. The combined administration includes coadministration, using separate formulations or a single pharmaceutical formulation, and consecutive administration in either order, wherein preferably there is a time period while both (or all) active agents simultaneously exert their biological activities. Preparation and dosing schedules for such chemotherapeutic agents may be used according to manufacturers' instructions or as determined empirically by the skilled practitioner. Preparation and dosing schedules for such chemotherapy are also described in Chemotherapy Service Ed., M.C. Perry, Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD (1992). The chemotherapeutic agent may precede, or follow administration of the antibody or may be given simultaneously therewith. The antibody may be combined with an anti-estrogen compound such as tamoxifen or an anti-progesterone such as onapristone (see, EP 616 812) in dosages known for such molecules.

In addition to the above therapeutic regimes, the patient may be subjected to surgical removal of cancer cells (tumor resection) and/or radiation therapy.

For the prevention or treatment of disease, the appropriate dosage of antagonist, *e.g.*, antibody will depend on the type of disease to be treated, as defined above, the severity and course of the disease, whether the antibody is administered for preventive or therapeutic purposes, previous therapy, the patient's clinical history and response to the antibody, and the discretion of the attending physician. The antibody is suitably administered to the patient at one time or over a series of treatments.

Depending on the type and severity of the disease, about 1 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ to 15 mg/kg (*e.g.*, 0.1-20 mg/kg) of antibody is an initial candidate dosage for administration to the patient, whether, for example, by one or more separate administrations, or by continuous infusion. A typical daily dosage might range from about 1 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ to 100 mg/kg or more, depending on the factors mentioned above. For repeated administrations over several days or longer, depending on the condition, the treatment is sustained until a desired suppression of disease symptoms occurs. However, other dosage regimens may be useful. The progress of this therapy is easily monitored by conventional techniques and assays.

Pharmaceutical Packages; Articles of Manufacture

In a related aspect of the invention, an article of manufacture containing materials useful for the treatment of the disorders described above is provided. The article of manufacture comprises a container, optionally labeled, and a package insert. Suitable containers include, for example, bottles, vials, syringes, etc. The containers may be formed from a variety of materials, such as glass or plastic. The container holds a composition that is effective for treating the condition and preferably has a sterile access port (for example the container may be an intravenous solution bag or a vial having a stopper pierceable by a hypodermic injection needle). At least one active agent in the composition is an anti-tumor antigen therapeutic antibody or an ErbB antagonist, *e.g.*, an anti-ErbB antibody. A label on, or associated with, the container indicates that the composition is used for treating the condition of choice. The article of manufacture may further comprise a second container comprising a pharmaceutically-acceptable buffer, such as phosphate-buffered saline, Ringer's solution and dextrose solution. This second buffer can be used to reconstitute the active agent, if that is provided as a lyophilysate or dried

powder, or to dilute a concentrated preparation of the active agent. It may further include other materials desirable from a commercial and user standpoint, including other buffers, diluents, filters, needles, and syringes.

In addition, the article of manufacture comprises a package insert or inserts with instructions for use in patients who have been found to have *erbB* gene amplification, e.g., by FISH testing. Such patients may be subjects who, by IHC, would be excluded from treatment with the ErbB antagonist, e.g., patients who score a 0 or 1+ using an anti-HER2 antibody.

10

Deposit of Materials

The following hybridoma cell lines have been deposited with the American Type Culture Collection, 12301 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, MD, USA (ATCC):

	Antibody Designation	ATCC No.	Deposit Date
	7C2	ATCC HB-12215	October 17, 1996
15	7F3	ATCC HB-12216	October 17, 1996
	4D5	ATCC CRL 10463	May 24, 1990

Further details of the invention are illustrated by the following non-limiting Examples.

20 **Example 1: Concordance Between the Clinical Trials Assay (CTA) and Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH) in the Herceptin® Pivotal Trials**

Overexpression of HER2 at the 2+ or 3+ level by immunohistochemistry (IHC) was required for enrollment in the pivotal Herceptin® metastatic breast cancer trials. The Clinical Testing Assay (CTA) involves two separate IHC assays performed with either
 25 monoclonal antibodies 4D5 (after protease digestion of the formalin fixed sample) or CB11 (after heat treatment of the formalin fixed sample). Subjects were eligible if either assay was scored at 2+ or 3+. If both were performed, the final score was the higher of the two results.

Concordance between the CTA and another IHC, HercepTest (HT), is 79%. This was the basis for FDA approval of HT to aid in the selection of patients for Herceptin therapy.

30 This Example describes a similar concordance study, utilizing clinical material submitted for screening for the Herceptin® pivotal trials, that compares the CTA to *her2/neu*

gene amplification measured by the PathVysion FISH assay. In the pivotal trials, 5998 subjects were screened for HER2 expression; 1915 (32%) were positive by the CTA and 4083 (68%) were negative. A random sample of 623 specimens (1:1 ratio of positive:negative) were selected for this analysis, 317 CTA+ and 306 CTA-. Specimens were not freshly cut from blocks. They had
 5 been stored between 2 and 4 years as 4-6 μ sections on glass slides. Each section was assayed for *her2/neu* amplification using the protocol specified in the package insert of the PathVysion assay. Amplification was defined as a signal ratio of greater than or equal to 2. The results are shown in Table 1.

10 **Table 1 - FISH/CTA Concordance**

		CTA				
		0	1+	2+	3+	
15	FISH -	207	28	67	21	
	FISH +	7	2	21	176	
		4%	7%	24%	89%	529

20 FISH+ = HER2:CEP17 signal ratio ≥ 2

Concordance = 82% (79-85%)

For the total 623 specimens tested, a FISH signal result was obtained in 529. Assay failure occurred in 19.9% of CTA- and 10.4% CTA+ samples. Amplification in the 0, 1+,
 25 2, and 3+ groups was 4.2%, 6.7%, 23.9%, and 89.3%, respectively. The sample concordance was 81.3%, similar to the CTA/HT concordance of 79%. Single copy overexpression was 31%, predominantly in the 2+ group. Amplification was rarely (4.6%) noted in the CTA- group. The higher assay failure rate in the CTA- group may be due to non-assay related factors such as tissue fixation. These may have also resulted in false negative results for IHC.

30 These data were closely interpreted to suggest that *her2/neu* amplification status may have unexpectedly superior predictive value for identifying patients who are more likely to benefit from Herceptin® treatment as compared to HercepTest. The observation that only 24% of 2+ patients are FISH+ suggest that this sub-group may have less predictable treatment outcomes when selected by IHC only. Identification of FISH+ patients in the 1+ and 0 sub-

groups might identify subjects who, though failing the IHC criteria for Herceptin® treatment, would likely benefit from Herceptin® treatment. A direct analysis of Herceptin® benefit based on FISH score compared to IHC score is presented in Example 2.

5 **Example 2: FISH/Clinical Outcome Study**

This example links the results from three Herceptin® Trials with FISH status. In this study, 805 subjects were selected at random from all three trials. Of these, 167 lacked slides. Another 78 assays (9.7%) failed. Thus, formalin-fixed cut sections stored between 2.5 and 4.5 years from 540 subjects provided the sample pool for this study. There were no imbalances in
10 demographics or prognostic indicators in these samples. Results are reported for different treatment groups.

Correlation of FISH status with response was evaluated for patients who received Herceptin® as a second or third line therapy. These data are reported for 2+ and 3+ (by CTA) subjects in Table 2.

15

Table 2 - FISH/Response with single agent Herceptin®, 2nd or 3rd line Therapy, 2+/3+ Combined

	FISH +	FISH -
Response	21	0
No response	84	37
response rate	20%	0%
	(12.5-27.5%)	(0.7%)

25

N=142

The 20% response rate of FISH+ subjects unexpectedly exceeds the 15% response rate of 2+ and 3+ patients in this study and 14% response rate observed in patients selected by CTA with a 2+ or 3+ immunohistochemistry score during the pivotal trials. Thus, while FISH
30 correlates well with IHC to about the same degree as another IHC assay, the Hercep Test, as shown in Example 1, it unexpectedly is superior in identifying patients who are more likely to benefit from Herceptin® therapy.

When these data were broken down into the components 3+ and 2+ subjects, the

same 20% response rate of FISH+ subjects was seen (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 3 - FISH/Response with single agent Herceptin®, 2nd or 3rd line therapy, 3+ subgroup

	FISH +	FISH -
Response	18	0
No response	72	17
response rate	20%	0%
	(12-28%)	(0-14%)

N=107

Table 4 - FISH/Response with single agent Herceptin®, 2nd or 3rd line therapy, 2+ subgroup

	FISH +	FISH -
Response	3	0
No response	12	20
response rate	20%	0%
	(1-40%)	(0-14%)

N=35

In the 3+ sub-group, the FISH+ response rate (20%) was very close but still exceeded the 17% response rate of 3+ subjects. The 2+ subgroup showed a much greater difference, with only a 9% response rate versus 20% by FISH+ selection. These data show that FISH+ status (*her2* gene amplification) greatly increases the likelihood of response to Herceptin®.

Data were also evaluated for patient responses to Herceptin® as a first line therapy (Table 5).

Table 5 - FISH/Response with single agent Herceptin® as 1st line therapy, 2+/3+ combined

	FISH +	FISH -
Response	17	1
No response	24	20
response rate	41%	20%
	(26-56%)	(0-14%)

N=62

The 41% response rate of FISH+ subjects was notably greater than the 27% response rate of 3+, 2+ subjects.

The surprising increase in likelihood of beneficial response based on FISH analysis extended to responses to chemotherapy plus Herceptin®, as shown in Table 6. FISH+ subjects showed a much greater response to chemotherapy and Herceptin® (54%) than FISH- (41%). Tables 7-9 contain more extensive data, broken down by different chemotherapeutic agents (adriamycin and cyclophosphamide, AC; and Paclitaxel, P) and different endpoints (response rate, time to progression, and survival) for Herceptin® in combination with chemotherapy.

Table 6 - FISH/Response rate to chemotherapy +/- Herceptin®, 1st line therapy; 2+/3+ combined

	C alone	C + H
FISH-	39% (26-52%)	41% (27-55%)
FISH+	27% (19-35%)	54% (45-63%)

N=336

Table 7 - Response rate of newly defined populations

		H + Ac (n = 143)	AC (n = 138)	H + P (n = 92)	P (n = 96)	H + CT (n = 235)	CT (n = 234)	
5	2+/3+	469	56*	42	41*	17	50*	32
	3+	349	60*	42	49*	17	56*	31
	FISH+	240	58*	40	49*	14	54*	27

*p<0.05

10

Table 8 - Time to progression (months) of newly defined populations

		H + Ac (n = 143)	AC (n = 138)	H + P (n = 92)	P (n = 96)	H + CT (n = 235)	CT (n = 234)	
15	2+/3+	469	7.8*	6.1	6.9*	2.7	7.4*	4.6
	3+	349	8.1*	6.0	7.1*	3.0	7.8*	4.6
	FISH+	240	7.8*	6.2	7.0*	3.2	7.3*	4.6

*p<0.05

20

Table 9 - Survival (months) of newly defined populations

			H + Ac (n = 143)	AC (n = 138)	H + P (n = 92)	P (n = 96)	H + CT (n = 235)	CT (n = 234)
25	2+/3+	469	27	21	22	18	25*	20
	3+	349	31*	21	25	18	29*	20
	FISH+	240	29*	20	25*	14	27*	18

*p<0.05

30

These data uniformly confirm that FISH+ analysis, though correlating closely to IHC, provides a much more accurate indicator of likelihood of success with Herceptin®

treatment. Across the board, FISH+ selection has about 1/3 (30%) greater response rate than 2+/3+ IHC-selection. When focused on 2+ patients, FISH status provides a much more effective tool for patient selection. FISH states also identifies patients who, because of 0 or 1+ status as determined by IHC, would otherwise be excluded from treatment.

5 These observations have broad implications for ErbB receptor antagonist-based cancer therapies and anti-tumor antigen cancer therapies in general. Thus erbB antagonists, *e.g.*, anti-erbB receptor antibodies like Herceptin®, can have an increased likelihood of efficacy when administered to patients who are positive for *erbB* gene amplification, *e.g.*, by a FISH test. This is certainly the case, based on these data, with Herceptin®.

10

* * *

The present invention is not to be limited in scope by the specific embodiments described herein. Indeed, various modifications of the invention in addition to those described herein will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description and the
15 accompanying figures. Such modifications are intended to fall within the scope of the appended claims.

It is further to be understood that all values are approximate, and are provided for description.

Patents, patent applications, publications, product descriptions, and protocols are
20 cited throughout this application, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entireties for all purposes.

25

WHAT IS CLAIMED:

- 1 1. A method for increasing likelihood of effectiveness of an ErbB antagonist
2 cancer treatment, which method comprises administering a cancer treating dose of the ErbB
3 antagonist to a subject, wherein an *erbB* gene in tumor cells in a tissue sample from the subject
4 has been found to be amplified.
- 1 2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the ErbB is a HER2 protein.
- 1 3. The method according to claim 2, wherein the cancer is breast cancer.
- 1 4. The method according to claim 3, wherein the subject has been found to
2 have a 0 or 1+ score by immunohistochemistry on a formaldehyde-fixed tissue sample.
- 1 5. The method according to claim 1, wherein the ErbB antagonist is an anti-
2 ErbB antibody.
- 1 6. The method according to claim 5, wherein the ErbB is HER2, and the
2 antibody is recombinant human monoclonal antibody (rhuMAb) 4D5.
- 1 7. The method according to claim 1 wherein the *erbB* gene amplification is
2 detected by detecting fluorescence of a fluorescent-labeled nucleic acid probe hybridized to the
3 gene.

- 1 8. The method according to claim 7, wherein the *erbB* gene is a *her2* gene..
- 1 9. The method according to claim 1, which further comprises administering
2 a cancer treating dose of a chemotherapeutic drug.
- 1 10. The method according to claim 9, wherein the ErbB is HER2 and the
2 chemotherapeutic drug is a taxoid.
- 1 11. The method according to claim 1 wherein the likelihood of effectiveness
2 increases by about 30%.
- 1 12. A method for increasing likelihood of effectiveness of an anti-HER2
2 antibody to treat cancer, which method comprises administering a cancer treating dose of the
3 anti-HER2 antibody to the subject, wherein a *her2* gene in tumor cells in a tissue sample from
4 the subject have been found to be amplified.
- 1 13. The method according to claim 12, wherein the subject has been found to
2 have a 0 or 1+ score by immunohistochemistry on a formaldehyde-fixed tissue sample.
- 1 14. The method according to claim 12, which further comprises administering
2 a cancer treating dose of a taxoid.
- 1 15. A pharmaceutical package comprising:

- 2 (a) a container comprising an ErbB antagonist for treating a cancer; and
3 (b) instructions to administer the ErbB antagonist to a subject if an *erbB* gene
4 in tumor cells in a tissue sample from the subject is amplified.

1 16. The package of claim 15, wherein the ErbB antagonist is an antibody.

1 17. The package of claim 16, wherein the antibody is an anti-HER2 antibody.

1 18. The package of claim 17, wherein the anti-HER2 antibody is rhuMAb 4D5
2 (Herceptin®).

1 19. The package of claim 15, wherein the instructions further comprise
2 directions to administer a chemotherapeutic drug in combination with the ErbB antagonist.

1 20. The package of claim 19, wherein the chemotherapeutic drug is a taxoid.

1 21. A method for identifying a patient disposed to respond favorably to an
2 ErbB antagonist for treating cancer, which method comprises detecting *erbB* gene amplification
3 in tumor cells in a tissue sample from the patient.

1 22. The method according to claim 21, wherein the subject has been found to
2 have a 0 or 1+ score by immunohistochemistry on a formaldehyde-fixed tissue sample.

1 23. The method according to claim 21, wherein the *erbB* is *her2*.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.
PCT/US 01/16193

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 A61K39/395 A61K31/335 G01N33/574 A61P35/00
//(A61K39/395, 31:335)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C07K A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

BIOSIS, EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, MEDLINE, SCISEARCH

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 99 31140 A (GENENTECH INC) 24 June 1999 (1999-06-24) page 4, line 1-12 page 28, line 19	1-3, 5-21,23
X	ROSS JEFFREY ET AL: "THE HER-2/NEU ONCOGENE IN BREAST CANCER: PROGNOSTIC FACTOR, PREDICTIVE FACTOR, AND TARGET FOR THERAPY." STEM CELLS (MIAMISBURG), vol. 16, no. 6, 1998, pages 413-428, XP002178259 ISSN: 1066-5099 page 423, left-hand column, paragraph 2 -right-hand column, paragraph 1 page 424, left-hand column, paragraph 2 -right-hand column, paragraph 1 -/-	1-3, 5-21,23

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- *G* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 September 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

08/10/2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

COVONE-VAN HEES, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 01/16193

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>WANG S ET AL: "LABORATORY ASSESSMENT OF THE STATUS OF HER-2/NEU PROTEIN AND ONCOGENE IN BREAST CANCER SPECIMENS: COMPARISON OF IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY ASSAY WITH FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HYBRIDISATION ASSAYS."</p> <p>JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PATHOLOGY (LONDON), vol. 53, no. 5, May 2000 (2000-05), pages 374-381, XP001016275</p> <p>ISSN: 0021-9746</p> <p>abstract</p> <p>page 378, left-hand column, paragraph 4</p> <p>page 379, right-hand column, paragraph 2</p>	1-3,5-8, 12, 15-19, 21,23
X	<p>PERSONS DIANE L ET AL: "FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION (FISH) FOR DETECTION OF HER-2/NEU AMPLIFICATION IN BREAST CANCER: A MULTICENTER PORTABILITY STUDY."</p> <p>ANNALS OF CLINICAL AND LABORATORY SCIENCE, vol. 30, no. 1, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 41-48, XP001016262</p> <p>ISSN: 0091-7370</p> <p>abstract</p>	1-3,5-8, 12, 15-19, 21,23
A	<p>DRESSLER L ET AL: "Amplification of ErbB2 By Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH): An Alternate Method to Predict Outcome Following Dose-Escalated CAF in Stage II, Node Positive Breast Cancer Patients. A Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) Study (Meeting abstract)."</p> <p>PROC ANNU MEET AM SOC CLIN ONCOL, (1999). VOL. 18, PP. A281., XP001016343</p> <p>Cancer and Leukemia Group B, Chicago, IL. U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC and U of Kansas Medical Center, KS.</p> <p>the whole document</p>	1-23
P,X	<p>WO 01 15730 A (GENENTECH INC)</p> <p>8 March 2001 (2001-03-08)</p> <p>- example 1</p>	1-3, 5-21,23

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.2

Claims Nos.: 1-4,7-11,15,19,20 (all partially)

Present claims 1-4,7-11,15,19,20 relate to a method for increasing likelihood of effectiveness of an ErbB antagonist cancer treatment, by administering a cancer treating dose of the ErbB antagonist and compositions comprising an ErbB antagonist.

The claims cover all methods and compositions having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such methods. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the method by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the method disclosed in claims 5-6, 12-14 and 16-18. Further the search has been carried out on the general concept of the application namely increasing likelihood of effectiveness of an ErbB antagonist cancer treatment, by administering a cancer treating dose of the ErbB antagonist.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Int'l Application No

PCT/US 01/16193

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9931140	A	24-06-1999	AU 1908199 A	05-07-1999
			CN 1281468 T	24-01-2001
			EP 1037926 A1	27-09-2000
			NO 20002957 A	11-08-2000
			TR 200001689 T2	22-01-2001
			WO 9931140 A1	24-06-1999
WO 0115730	A	08-03-2001	AU 7075200 A	26-03-2001
			WO 0115730 A1	08-03-2001